

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

Confirmation Number: 8014

Andrew G. Tucker, et al.

Group Art Unit: 2431

Serial No.: 10/769,415

Examiner: Vaughan, Michael R.

Filed: January 30, 2004

For: FINE-GRAINED PRIVILEGES IN OPERATING SYSTEM PARTITIONS

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO ELECTION OF SPECIES REQUIREMENT

Sir:

This is in response to the Office Action dated March 17, 2009, in which an election of species requirement was imposed. In the Office Action, the Examiner acknowledged that claims 27-29, 37, 41-42, and 50 are generic. The Examiner also contended that the application contains claims to four patentably distinct species: (I) claims 30, 31, 38, 43, 44, and 51 directed to obtaining control of a second process; (II) claims 32, 33, 45, and 46 directed to setting privilege limits; (III) claims 36, 39, 49, and 52 directed to changing user identifiers; and (IV) claims 34, 35, 40, 47, 48, and 53 directed to determining a type of operation.

In response to the election of species requirement, Applicants hereby elect, without traverse, species IV directed to determining a type of operation.

Applicants note that, as provided by 37 C.F.R. §1.141, if any generic claim is allowed, Applicants are entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all of the limitations of the allowed generic claim.

Therefore, if any of claims 27-29, 37, 41-42, and 50 are allowed, Applicants are entitled to consideration of the species recited in the non-elected claims.

Respectfully submitted,

HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER LLP

Dated: March 19, 2009

/BobbyKTruong#37499/

Bobby K. Truong

Reg. No. 37,499

2055 Gateway Place, Suite 550
San Jose, California 95110-1089
Tel: (408) 414-1080 ext. 234
Fax: (408) 414-1076